Under the Paperwork Reduction Act o		Application Number	09/858,477
TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	May 17, 2001
		First Named Invento	r Akira Sekine Q
		Group Art Unit	1743
		Examiner Name	Akira Sekine 1743  Yelena G. Gakh ber H6810.0021/P021
Total Number of Pages in This Submis	ssion 3	3 Attorney Docket Numb	ber H6810.0021/P021
	ENCL	OSURES (check all	that apply)
Fee Transmittal Form	Assignme	ent Papers plication)	After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached	Drawing(s	•	Appeal Communication to Boa Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition to	o Convert to a Provisional	Status Letter
	Application  Power of Attorney, Revocation		Other Englesure(s)
Extension of Time Request	Change o	f Correspondence Address	(please identify below)
Express Abandonment Request	Terminal	Disclaimer	Response to Election of Species Requirement
Information Disclosure Statement	Request	for Refund	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	CD, Num	ber of CD(s)	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks		
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
□□ under 37 CFR 1.52 01 1.53			
SIGN	IATURE OF APP	LICANT, ATTORNEY, OR	AGENT

October 22, 2003

Date



Docket No.: H6810.0021/P021

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Art Unit: 1743

Akira Sekine, et al.

Examiner: Yelena G. Gakh Application No.: 09/858,477

Filed: May 17, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF

CHEMICAL MATERIALS

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, MS: AF-Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed September 25, 2003, Applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-18, for continued examination.

This election is made with traverse. The Examiner has required restriction between Group I, claims 1-18, drawn to a method for management of chemical materials and Group II, claims 119-40, drawn to a system for management of chemical materials.

M.P.E.P. § 803 provides as follows: "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." Applicants respectfully submit that the other claims in this case can be examined together with the Group II claims without "serious burden."

Please also note that claims 39 and 40 are method claims. Claims 39 and 40 are <u>not</u> drawn to a system for management of chemical materials as the Office Action asserts.

Favorable action on the application is solicited.

October 22, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

**OSHINSKY LLP** 

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorney for Applicants